

LEGAL NOTICES.

In Equity—Lancaster District
John R. Welsh, Adm'r. of William Hil-
ton, dec'd. vs. John Adams, et al., Cre-
ditors—Bill to marshal assets, and to
forth.

THE CREDITORS OF THE ES-
tate of William Hilton, late of Lan-
caster District, dec'd, are notified and re-
quested to establish their demands before
me on or before the first day of June
1852.

J. H. WITHERSPOON.
Com'r in Eq'y, L. D.
Apr. C. H., S. C. March 15, 1852.
IN EQUITY, \$6,75
Middleton G. Caston,
vs
Wm. T. Caston,
Samuel C. Caston.

It appearing to my satisfaction that the defendants, William T. Caston and Samuel Caston reside beyond the limits of this State. It is ordered on motion of Williams, solicitor for complainant, that said defendants do answer, plead or demur to the bill in show-

on or before the 25th of June 1852, otherwise judgment pro confesso will be ordered against them.

JAMES H. WITHERSPOON,
Com. Eq'y Lan. Dist.

Mar 23, 1852. 7 3mo.

John W. Cooke, solicitor, } Petition to su-
vs. }
William McCorkle } an Equity a-
wife Elizabeth } for Relief.

It appearing to my satisfaction, that Wi-
liam McCorkle and Elizabeth his wife res-
ide without the limits of this State. It is or-
dered on motion of Williams, solicitor for,

tioner, that the said defendants do answer and plead, or demur to the petition in above captioned or before the 25th day of June 1887, otherwise Judgment, pro confesso will be entered against them.

JAMES H. WITHERSPOON,
C. E. L.

Mar 24

IN EQUITY—Lancaster District Court.
 Bridget McLarnon } Bill
 vs. } for
 Madison Richardson } Dower.
 It appearing to my satisfaction that the
 defendant, Madison Richardson, reside

beyond the limits of this State. It is
 dated on motion of Clinton and Ham
 Solt's for the complainant that the sa
 defendant, Madison Richardson do answe
 plead, or demur to the Bill in above ca
 or before the 21st day of June 1852, oth
 wic judgment pro confesso will be ord

In Equity—Lancaster Dis-

James H. Kirkley, by power of Attorney from John Kirkley sr. & Susannah his wife, vs. Chas. Kirkley— <i>et al.</i>	for Relief &c.
---	----------------------

It appearing to my satisfaction, that John Kirkley, Sr.; Charles Kirkley, James Kirkley and John Kirkley, Jr., defendants in above case, reside without the limits of this State. On motion of Williams Solicitor for the Petitioner, it is ordered, that said John Kirkley, Sr., Charles Kirkley,

James Kinkaid, and John Kinkaid, Jr.,
plead, answer, or demur to the Petition
in the above case, on, or before first day
June 1886. Otherwise, Judgment, Pro
Confesso, will be entered against each
of them. JAS. H. WITHERSPOON,
Court, Esq. I. D.

In Equity—Lancaster Dis-
John W. Gregory,
Willie Gregory, Jr. Bill for Partition
vs. of Lands and M
Martin Gregory, ad.

low, Thos. I. Gregory,
H. Jackson Gregory,
Owen Gregory, Alfred
Moore & Murre A. his
wife, Joseph Terrell &
Martha J. his wife.

It appearing to my satisfaction, that Joseph Terrell and wife, Martha J., defendants in above case, reside without the limits of this State, it is ordered on motion of Williams, solicitor for complainants, that said Joseph Terrell and wife, Martha J., do plead, answer, or demur, to the bill filed in this case, on or before the sixth day of March, A. D. 1892.

will be ordered against them.
JAMES H. WITHERSPOON
Com'r Eq. L. D.
Feb. 12 3m 1

In Equity—Lancaster Dis-
Josiah M. Croxton, Susan Croxton, Mary Croxton, Henry Anderson & Mittey his wife.
vs
E B Ward & Jane his Bill to divide real Estate &c. Estate of Jane Croxton, deceased and Jane Croxton deceased

It appearing to my satisfaction that B. Ward and Jane, his wife, Joseph Woods and Rachel, his wife,

It is ordered, on motion of Clinton & H. na sol'r. for complainants, that the said defendants do answer, plead, or demur, the Bill in above case, on, or before the 1 day of June, 1852, otherwise, Judgment against them will be rendered, &c.

JAMES H. WITHERSPOON,
Com'r Eq'y Lan'r Dist
Com'r's office, Feb 12 3m 1

Nathaniel B. Ingram, Petitioner to state
vs. subject an Equi
Nathaniel Ingram, to pay a leg
Francis P. Ingram. demand.

It appearing to my satisfaction that Nathaniel Ingram (son of Jemima) resides beyond the limits of this State. It is ordered,

motion of Moore, solicitor for petitioner, that the said Nathaniel Ingram do answer, plead or demurr to the petition filed against him in the above case, on or before the 1st day of June, 1852, otherwise judgment pro confesso, will be ordered against him.

JAMES H. WITHERSPOON,
Clerk.

Laogator, C. H., S. C., Feb. 26, 1860.